



Mathew Shields



REPORT DATE 30/08/2026
REPORT TIME 02:22 pm

SPUTNIK ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Comprehensive electro-photonic field analysis for environmental assessment and wellness insights.

1 EXPERIMENT OVERVIEW			
Experiment Name	2026-04-19 11_06 - Jayapsionic.csv	Location	Not specified
Stated Purpose	to test if an interdimensional ufo could be landed using conscious intention.	Duration	11:09:35 to 12:19:32 (1h 9m 39s)
Total Observations	1400 points	Activity Segments	Start (620 observations); UFO over side trees in the south east (15 observations); ufo landing on sputnik (25 observations); ufo landed on sputnik (15 observations); ufo power up over sputnik (45 observations); experiemnt done (679 observations)
Data Quality	High confidence · 0 gap(s) · 0 irregular interval(s)		

2 MEASURED DATA SUMMARY						
SEGMENT	OBSERVATIONS	MEAN AREA	AREA SD	MEAN INTENSITY	MEAN ENERGY	MEAN ENTROPY
Start	620	8627.62	1006.15	65.29	2.25	-173.18
UFO over side trees in the south east	15	9559.27	192.31	65.63	2.51	-6.68
ufo landing on sputnik	25	9707.00	209.85	65.42	2.54	-4.93
ufo landed on sputnik	15	9849.93	206.72	65.55	2.58	-1.57
ufo power up over sputnik	45	9785.02	253.30	65.46	2.56	0.66
experiemnt done	679	9628.86	214.73	66.07	2.54	-16.35

3 INTERPRETATION & FINDINGS					
MGF Sputnik Comprehensive Experiment Analysis					
1 Experiment Overview					
The evaluation encompasses 1,400 observations captured on 19.04.2026 at Jaya Sanctuary, Australia. The stated objective was to assess environmental electro-photonic field responses during an intentional contact experiment. The dataset spans 1 hour 9 minutes (11:09:35 to 12:19:32) across 6 chronologically distinct recording segments. Quality metrics demonstrate zero sampling gaps or timestamp duplicates, yielding a High structural confidence rating; however, no matching BDF file was identified in the Bio-Well cache.					
2 Key Biophysical Findings					
SEGMENT NAME	OBSERVATIONS	GDV AREA (MEAN ± SD)	ENERGY (MEAN ± SD)	ENTROPY (MEAN ± SD)	AREA DEV (MEAN ± SD)
Start (Baseline)	620	8627.62 ± 1006.15	2.25 ± 0.26	-173.18 ± 238.44	187.26 ± 144.12
UFO over side trees...	15	9559.27 ± 192.31	2.51 ± 0.06	-6.68 ± 3.11	208.78 ± 7.99

SEGMENT NAME	OBSERVATIONS	GDV AREA (MEAN ± SD)	ENERGY (MEAN ± SD)	ENTROPY (MEAN ± SD)	AREA DEV (MEAN ± SD)
ufo landing on sputnik	25	9707.00 ± 209.85	2.54 ± 0.06	-4.93 ± 5.16	207.14 ± 9.34
ufo landed on sputnik	15	9849.93 ± 206.72	2.58 ± 0.05	-1.57 ± 4.94	216.21 ± 14.01
ufo power up over sputnik	45	9785.02 ± 253.30	2.56 ± 0.07	0.66 ± 8.60	232.26 ± 20.81
experiemnt done (Post)	679	9628.86 ± 214.73	2.54 ± 0.06	-16.35 ± 22.15	191.87 ± 35.50

3 Significant Environmental Changes

A pronounced shift in field parameters coincided with the transition from the baseline period ("Start") to active intention intervals:

- Field Energy & Density Expansion:** Mean GDV Area expanded from a baseline of 8627.62 to a peak mean of 9849.93 during the "ufo landed on sputnik" segment (+14.17%). Mean Energy exhibited a corresponding elevation from 2.25 to 2.58.
- Stabilization of Variance:** Standard deviation of GDV Area reduced significantly from baseline (1006.15) down to 192.31–253.30 across the active observational phases, indicating a transition from fluctuating baseline noise to a tightly bounded, higher-amplitude signal state.
- Entropy Normalization:** Baseline Entropy exhibited extreme negative dispersion (-173.18 ± 238.44). During the active sequences, mean entropy normalized toward near-zero values (-6.68 to +0.66) with dramatically reduced variance, peaking at 0.66 ± 8.60 during the "ufo power up" period.

4 Direction of Field Progression

The ambient field exhibited a step-function elevation and structural coherence shift rather than a linear drift. The progression initiated at a lower-amplitude, high-variance baseline, rapidly shifted into an elevated, coherent state during labeled intention windows, and maintained this elevated state into the post-experiment phase (GDV Area 9628.86 ± 214.73; Energy 2.54 ± 0.06).

5 Effect of Labeled Activity

The onset of labeled intentional events ("UFO over side trees", "landing", "landed", and "power up") was temporally associated with immediate suppression of signal variance and sustained elevation of field density. The brief observation counts within active segments (15 to 45 readings; 42s to 132s) limit standalone statistical inference for individual sub-events, but collectively demonstrate a marked shift from the initial 30-minute baseline profile.

6 ALS Parameter Interpretation

- Segment 1 (Start):** ALS = 338.91 — Classified as **Abnormal** (Eligible, 620 readings). Reflects severe ambient capacitance/electrostatic baseline instability.
- Active Segments (2–5):** ALS cannot be interpreted because the recordings do not meet eligibility requirements (fewer than 360 observations per segment).
- Segment 6 (experiemnt done):** ALS = 72.51 — Classified as **High activity** (Eligible, 679 readings). Indicates a sustained elevation in environmental field responsiveness relative to standard atmospheric baseline parameters.

7 Environmental Occupancy & Spatial Dynamic Interpretation

The space shifted from a highly erratic initial state to a structured, high-energy environment. For intentional practice, group focus, or meditation, the post-baseline metrics suggest an organized field condition. However, given the sustained ALS "High activity" rating (72.51) following the session, long-term continuous occupancy dynamics should be monitored over repeated runs to establish standard rest versus active field norms.

8 Practitioner Recommendations

- Control Set Integration:** Execute concurrent un-attended baseline recordings in identical ambient conditions to isolate environmental/weather shifts from practitioner presence or intentional focus.
- Extended Event Duration:** Maintain individual event marker periods for at least 360 observations (>6 minutes) to permit full ALS calculation eligibility across active focus phases.
- Replication Protocols:** Conduct multi-run comparative sessions at Jaya Sanctuary across varying solar/lunar timeframes to evaluate location-specific capacitance vs. temporal activity shifts.

9 Analytical Confidence & Data Limitations

- **Confidence Rating:** Downgraded to Moderate for causal inference due to short duration in active segments (<360 readings) and lack of a concurrent off-site control setup.
- **BDF Cache Verification:** Unmatched BDF structural record requires raw file archiving for secondary biophysical audit.
- **Sample Size Constraints:** Active sub-segments (15–45 points) reflect transient states; observations must be interpreted as short-term temporal correlations.

10 Required Closing Note

This technical wellness interpretation evaluates electro-photonic field dynamics and ambient environmental parameter shifts based strictly on supplied computational data. It does not prove environmental causation, anomalous atmospheric presence, biological safety, or medical efficacy. Causation cannot be determined from the supplied data.

This report presents an analysis of recorded environmental measurements and their interpretation.

This technical wellness interpretation does not prove environmental causation, safety, or medical efficacy. Causation cannot be determined from the supplied data.

Sputnik Studio Report